REVIEW ARTICLE

Mindfulness related changes in grey matter: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Cyril R. Pernet¹ · Nikolai Belov² · Arnaud Delorme^{3,4} · Alison Zammit¹

Received: 7 April 2020 / Revised: 17 December 2020 / Accepted: 4 January 2021 The Author(s) 2021

Abstract



Knowing target regions undergoing strfuncti changes caused by behavioural interventions is paramount in evaluating the effectiveness of such practices. Here, using a systematic review approach, we identified 25 peer-reviewed magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies demonstrating grey matter changes related to mindfulness meditation. An activation likelihood estimation (ALE) analysis (n = 16) revealed the right anterior ventral insula as the only significant region with consistent effect across studies, whilst an additional functional connectivity analysis indicates that both left and right insulae, and the anterior cingulate gyrus with adjacent paracingulate gyri should also be considered in future studies. Statistical meta-analyses suggest medium to strong effect sizes from Cohen's $d \sim 0.8$ in the right insula to ~ 1 using maxima across the whole brain. The systematic review revealed design issues with selection, information, attrition and confirmation biases, in addition to weak statistical power. In conclusion, our analyses show that mindfulness meditation practice does induce grey matter changes but also that improvements in methodology are needed to establish mindfulness as a therapeutic intervention.

Keywords Mindfulness meditation · Systematic review · Meta-analysis · MRI · Grey matter

Introduction

The relatively recent increase in the popularity of meditation in the Western world has received much attention, with many claiming associated mental health benefits. Concerns about the qualities of studies and potential harm to patients have nevertheless also been raised (Farias and Wikholm 2016) given that up to a quarter of meditators have reported unpleasant and potentially deleterious meditation-related experiences (Schlosser et al. 2019). Medical intervention using meditation (in various forms) has been used predominantly in the treatment of chronic pain, and to a lesser extent in the treatment of obesity, aging, dementia, and psychiatric disorders. Literature

Cyril R. Pernet cyril.pernet@ed.ac.uk

- ² Department of Psychology, Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany
- ³ CerCo, CNRS, Paul Sabatier University, Toulouse, France
- ⁴ SCCN, INC, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

reviews and meta analyses show some evidence for lowering perceived pain along with improving associated symptoms such as depression and anxiety (Edwards and Loprinzi 2018) or sleep disorders (Kwekkeboom and Bratzke 2016), usually with no change in actual symptoms (Hood and Jedel 2017; see however Ball et al. 2017). Such intervention seems also ineffective in 'heavy' patients such as those in palliative care (Latorraca et al. 2017). Meditation has shown benefits in promoting better eating behaviours therefore assisting weight regulation (Dunn et al. 2018), enhancing cognitive efficiency in healthy aging (Sperduti et al. 2017) and reducing cognitive decline in dementia (Russell-Williams et al. 2018). Finally, there is also evidence that meditation improves patients with mental illnesses, with reductions in psychotic symptoms, depression scores, and improved level of functioning (Potes et al. 2018). In most reviews, meditation has been shown to improve quality of life (Edwards and Loprinzi 2018; Hilton et al. 2017; Hood and Jedel 2017; Potes et al. 2018; Russell-Williams et al. 2018). Accumulating evidence for benefits, risks and mechanisms of meditation is therefore valuable to improve patients' health whilst reducing interventions and healthcare costs (Innes and Selfe 2014).

ElectroEncephaloGraphy (EEG) and structural/functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) have been used extensively

¹ Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, Edinburgh Imaging, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

to study brain changes underpinning behavioural effects of meditation. A previous meta-analysis by Boccia et al. (2015) suggests functional changes in meditators relative to controls in the thalamus, striatum and frontal cortex along with structural changes in some of these regions. The mechanism leading to grey matter (GM) changes is unknown, although EEG findings support the hypothesis that meditation increases neuronal communication which in turn causes GM changes via synaptic plasticity. For instance, meditation tends to increase fast oscillations of 12– 30 Hz, which are usually associated with high vigilance levels (Braboszcz and Delorme 2011; Laufs et al. 2006) and increase in phase-locking gamma-band oscillations (Lutz et al. 2004). Such increases in neural communication and connectivity might mediate observed long term brain structural changes.

Our review focused on studies looking at GM and aimed at (1) investigating the strength of reported associations and (2) establishing evidence for local GM changes due to meditation. Meditation is here strictly defined as the practice of focused attention or awareness with the aim of cultivating a calm and stable mental state, which typically corresponds to what has been termed mindfulness. Consequently, we excluded from our analyses any studies that included movement therapies, such as yoga alongside meditation (Boccia et al. 2015; Fox et al. 2014; Last et al. 2017) since these additional practices may act as confounding factors (Colcombe et al. 2006; Erickson et al. 2014). Since proposed meditation interventions often hypothesize brain changes that will regulate different aspects of behaviour, it is essential to establish which brain regions show changes. For example, if patients show an alteration in one brain region, imaging can address whether an intervention may re-establish a normal range of values in this region or if behavioural changes are mediated by compensatory mechanisms (Bishop 2013). Although many brain changes are functional by nature (change in activation level, frequency of activity or activity coupling), we focused here on structural Grey Matter (GM) changes only, because it provides evidence of neural plasticity for long term mental health changes.

Material and method

Systematic review

Search strategy The Ovid interface was used to search MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO, AMED and Global Health. The following terms were searched separately on the 10th of October 2018, using the multi-field search tool with "All Fields" enabled: (1) Meditat* OR Mindfulness (2) Magnetic Resonance Imaging OR MRI OR MR Imaging OR sMRI OR Neuroimaging (3) Grey Matter OR Grey Matter OR GM OR Cortical Thickness OR Cortex OR Brain. Searches 1–3 were combined with a Boolean AND function. The high number of hits returned from PsycINFO

was reduced by consulting relevant subject headings, and performing an advanced search (as follows) with 'map to subject headings' and 'Auto Explode' enabled: (1) Meditation OR Mindfulness (2) Magnetic Resonance Imaging or Neuroimaging (3) Grey Matter or Cerebral Cortex. Again, searches 1–3 were combined using a Boolean AND function.

Inclusion criteria Articles included must have met the following criteria: (i) Involve meditation or mindfulness (ii) Use structural MRI (iii) Measure GM density, volume or thickness.

Exclusion criteria To produce a focused report and avoid confounding effects, the exclusion criteria comprised of (i) Articles involving physical movement alongside meditation: For example, the mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) program developed by Kabat-Zinn, which incorporates yoga (Santorelli et al. 2017), (ii) Articles where structural MRI was not performed, and (iii) Articles that do not measure grey matter volume (GMV)/density (GMD) or cortical thickness (CTh): Measurement outcomes such as WM changes and gyrification patterns were not included.

Bias analysis Articles were assessed for bias using the Clinical Appraisal Skills Programme checklists https://casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists/. We report here specifically on selection, information and attrition biases.

Meta-analyses

Amplitude of morphological changes? For each study included, the maximum reported effect was extracted (e.g. t-values, regression slope or Pearsons' r correlation coefficients) either directly from the text or inferred from figures. Importantly, the maximum effect size was used irrespective of the location in space, thus establishing a generic evidence for an effect of meditation on GM. For Chételat et al. (2017) and Murakami et al. (2012) data points were extracted from scatter plots using WebPlotDigitizer (Rohatgi 2019) while for Kumar et al. (2014), t-value was taken from the maximum of the figure color scale. From these values and number of participants, Hedges' g effect sizes were obtained and a random-effect meta-analysis (Maximum-likelihood as estimator) was computed using the *dmetar* R package (Harrer et al. 2019). An additional meta-analysis was also conducted for the right insula, based on the a-posteriori observation of the spatial distribution of reported effects. From the meta-analyses effect sizes, Hedges' g was transformed to Cohen's d using Eq. 4 from Lakens (2013) and G-power (Faul et al. 2007, 2009) was used to estimate the number of subjects needed for 80 % and 95 % statistical power of a two-samples t-test.

Where in the brain can we see changes? For each study showing structural brain differences in meditators, and when available, coordinates from significantly different regions were entered into an anatomic likelihood estimation analysis using GingerALE version 3.02 (Eickhoff et al. 2012; Eickhoff et al. 2009; Turkeltaub et al. 2012), which estimates the likelihood that a region contains an effect taking into account both reported locations and sample sizes (here using the degrees of freedom of the test from which coordinates were used). Since the analysis is focused on spatial analyses, only whole brain studies are used, but using all coordinates. The ALE map was thresholded at a p-value of 0.05 after family-wise error cluster correction with 1000 permutations and the default p = .001cluster forming threshold. Since structure and function of the human brain are intricately linked through multiple levels and modes of brain connectivity (Sporns et al. 2005), we sought to gain further insight of meditation induced neuroplasticity by using functional connectivity maps from NeuroSynth (Yarkoni et al. 2011). The functional connectivity maps represent resting-state functional connectivity analysis performed on 1,000 human subjects (Buckner et al. 2014), with voxel seeds from 7 study coordinates in and around the region found significant by the ALE analysis. These coordinate-based connectivity maps were then binarized (thresholded at 0.2) and summed, and this final map thresholded for significance at 88 %, i.e. above the 95 % upper bound of the chance level confidence interval.

Results

Search results

The total number of references gathered was 867 (MEDLINE = 234, Embase = 545 AMED = 3, Global Health = 2, PsycINFO = 83). After deleting duplicates this was reduced to 698. Of these, 530 were excluded following abstract screening based on the criteria defined previously. The full texts of 143 articles were examined, of which 25 met inclusion criteria (see Table 1). Cross-checking with other reviews (e.g. (Boccia et al. 2015) revealed a high number of matches, which was taken as evidence of a good search strategy.

Study range and characteristics The sum of the participants included in the 25 selected studies was 1646. Accounting for overlapping samples reduced this to 1406 participants (mean: 56.24, range: 19–247). Participant age ranged from 12 to 87 years old, and various meditation/mindfulness disciplines were studied.

Strength of associations and bias

Twenty-three out the 25 included studies reported quantitative changes associated with meditation. Nineteen of them

Table 1List of the 25 studies included in the systematic review(*denotes those included in the ALE analysis) with the number ofcontrol subjects (C), the number of meditators (M), and the effect testedand the corresponding statistical test and result (TP = temporo-parietal,ACC = Anterior Cingulate Cortex, SMG: supramarginal gyrus)

Studies	С	М	Effect tested and used in the systematic review and meta-analyses	Statistical test and result at maximum
Chételat et al. 2017 (quantitative data from figure)	67	6	Differences in gray matter volume in elderly population	t-test (adjusted for brain size, age, education): meditators> controls, left TP junction
Engen et al. 2018 *	15	17	Differences in cortical thickness	t-test: meditators >controls, left insula
Fahmy et al. 2018 *	9	10	Differences in gray matter volume of opiate dependent patients receiving meditation as part of their treatment	t-test: meditators >controls, left ACC
Fayed et al. 2017 (no quantitative data)	10	11	Differences in gray matter volume	t-test (adjusted for TIV, age, and gender): inferior temporal cortex
Friedel et al. 2015	0	82	Association between mindfulness and cortical thickness	regression (adjusted for age and total avg thickness): left anterior insula
Grant et al. 2010 *	18	17	Differences in cortical thickness	t-test (adjusted for age): ACC
Grant et al. 2013 *	18	18	Difference in relations between cortical thickness and attentional absorption	t-test (adjusted for age and gender): left SMG
Hernandez et al. 2016 *	23	23	Differences in gray matter volume	t-test (adjusted for TIV, age, and gender): meditators> controls Right Insula
Holzel et al. 2008 *	20	20	Differences in gray matter volume	t-test: right hippocampus
Kober et al. 2017	20	20	Differences in gray matter volume between the high vs. low prayer/meditation groups	t-test: no difference
Kumar 2014 *	14	14	Differences in gray matter volume	

	Brain	Imaging	and	Behavio
--	-------	---------	-----	---------

Studies	С	М	Effect tested and used in the systematic review and meta-analyses	Statistical test and result at maximum
(quantitative data from figure)				t-test: left ventral pallidum
Kurth 2015a *	0	50	Association between years of mediation and gray matter volume	Pearson correlation (adjustment for age): intra parietal sulcus
Kurth et al. 2015b	50	50	Differences in gray matter volume correlation with age	ANOVA (group * age): hippocampus
Lazar et al. 2005	15	20	Differences in cortical thickness	t-test: right insula
Leung et al. 2013 *	15	10	Differences in gray matter volume	t-test (adjusted for global brain size during normaliza- tion): right angular gtyrus
Lu et al. 2014 *	0	247	Association between mindfulness and gray matter volume	regression (adjusted for gender and TIV): ACC
Luders et al. 2009 *	22	22	Differences in regional gray matter volume	t-test: right hippocampus
Luders et al. 2012	30	30	Differences in hippocampal volume	t-test: left hippocampus
Luders et al. 2013 *	50	50	Differences in gray matter volume	t-test (adjusted for age, and gender): left hippocampus
Luders et al. 2015a	15	15	Differences in hippocampal volume per sex (here only males)	t-test: left hippocampus
Luders et al. 2015b *	50	50	Differences in the association gray matter volume and age	t-test (gp * age interactions adjusted for sex and TIV) posterior visual network
Murakami et al. 2012 * (quantitative data from figure)	0	19	Association between mindfulness and gray matter volume	regression (adjusted for age and sex): bigger volumes for higher mindful

Table 1 (continued)				
Studies	С	М	Effect tested and used in the systematic review and meta-analyses	Statistical test and result at maximum
				scores, right insula
Pagnoni and Cekic 2007 *	13	13	Differences in the association gray matter volume and age	t-test (gp * age interaction adjusted for TIV): left putamen
Taren et al. 2013	0	155	Association between mindfulness and regional gray matter volume	Pearson correlation: right amygdala
Vestergaard-Poulsen et al. 2009 *	10	10	Differences in gray matter volume	t-test: brain stem

compared directly meditators to non-meditators (only 18 used in the analysis as 1 study only reported values of the difference between patients, even if controls were present) and the six remaining studies reporting associations with the amount of meditation. The meta-analysis random-effect-model across all 23 studies from which we had data, for the strongest effect independently of the brain area and design, showed an estimated effect of g = 0.8244 [95 % CI 0.4495 1.1994] (t = 4.56, p = .0002) with an estimated variance τ^2 = 0.1709 and heterogeneity I² = 77.9 % (Q(22) = 99.45, p < .0001). Looking only at the 18 studies comparing directly meditators to control (N = 395 vs. 454), the effect size was slightly larger: g = 1.025 [0.6055 1.4445] t = 5.16 p < .0001, τ^2 = 0.1053 I² = 75.4 % Q(17) = 69.11, p < .0001 (Fig. 1).

Selection bias Unrepresentative samples limit our ability to generalise results, which was apparent in most of the studies in this review. Examples include the use of healthcare workers as controls, samples consisting solely of volunteers or college students and geographically-limited recruitment. There are also instances where recruitment procedures are not described. A number of studies have included controls from databases of healthy adults, and while these may seem appropriate, there is concern over their prior experience with meditation given around 8 % of the general (western societies) population meditation (Clarke et al. 2015). Since this is not specified, in some studies, it is not possible to assess whether or not the controls are appropriate (Martínez-Mesa et al. 2016). Another issue is the inclusion of participants from various or unspecified meditation backgrounds within the same study, which implies that various meditation/mindfulness disciplines are equal in effect - as only acknowledged as a limitation in only one study (Chételat et al. 2017).



Fig. 1 Whole brain maxima analyses. The left side of the figure shows location of maxima reported anywhere in the brain for studies comparing directly meditators to controls (n = 18), leading to estimate an overall strength of evidence (forest plot of maxima – middle left) from which one can estimate how many subjects are needed in future studies (power

curves with black horizontal bars representing the 95 % CI bounds of the meta-analysis effect – middle right), knowing however that there is an publication bias since only significant results were used (funnel plot excluding Vestergaard-Poulsen et al. 2009 (weight = 0) with the null effect shown with the grey triangle vs. computed effect in blue – right hand side)

Information bias is commonly seen with self-reporting, which may reduce study validity (Althubaiti 2016). Most studies in this review recorded participants' prior meditation experience in hours or years, this may be problematic because reliance on memory and honesty reduces objectivity, and increases the risk of including incorrect information. Studies using mindfulness questionnaires may also add to this problem, for example the five facet mindfulness questionnaire (FFMQ), which was shown to have issues with construct validity (Goldberg et al. 2016). Furthermore, questionable classification methods increase the risk of biased or incorrect information: for example, one study divides participants into high versus low frequency meditation/prayer groups based on no established classification method.

Attrition bias Several cases of unaccounted dropouts have, again, limited the formation of a definite conclusion regarding meditation and GM. Although there are situations where dropouts may not affect bias (Bell et al. 2013), this review includes cases where bias is likely (see Table 2).

Blinding The majority of studies were observational, retrospective and cross-sectional, where participant blinding was not performed since it was unnecessary. Of the studies that were longitudinal in nature, one did not mention participant blinding and the other did not involve any intervention, rendering this unnecessary. Investigator blinding was not required in studies using automatic methods of GM measurement, however those using semi-automatic measurement, or manual delineation of GM would require blinding since over/ underestimation is possible with knowledge of the participant group. Some studies included here employed manual methods with no mention of observer blinding, which could lead to confirmation bias (Althubaiti 2016). **Publication bias** as expected from analyzing maxima and illustrated on the funnel plot, the meta-analysis effect size is biased such as studies follow the expected null slope with weaker effects for higher precision studies and conversely stronger effects for lower precision studies. Importantly, no non-significant maxima were present because all studies, but one found effects, and the one not finding a difference did not report on effect size.

Spatial meta-analysis and connectivity

Of the 25 studies included, 16 provided anatomical coordinates of regions showing significantly increased GM in meditators or following an intervention. Four studies had overlapping samples, with however no overlapping coordinates. The meta-analysis from all data demonstrated one significant cluster in the short insular gyrus extending to the claustrum (from [26 8-18] to [38 14-8 with a peak at [32 10–14]). Overall, 6 studies reported effects in the right insula, but only 3 of them participated in the ALE cluster. All 6 studies were nevertheless neighbouring coordinates, all were used to create a summary functional connectivity map. This analysis showed significant association (i.e. above the 88 % chance level) of the left insular cortex, the left/right inferior post-central gyri, the anterior cingulate gyrus and adjacent paracingulate gyri with the right insula (Fig. 2). Lowering the threshold to the 'chance level' 50 % also show possible association with left and right frontal poles.

Discussion

Our meta-analysis indicates that meditation has a medium to large effect on grey matter (Cohen's lower estimate ~ 0.45 for all studies to higher estimate of ~ 1.5 for studies comparing

Table 2Bias analysis showingfor each of the 25 studies (✓indicates bias, X indicates no bias,? unclear)

	Selection bias	Information bias	Attrition bias	Confirmation bias
Chételat et al. 2017	✓	? Most likely that info was obtained by interviewing participants	Х	1
Engen et al. 2018	\checkmark	✓	Х	\checkmark ? No mention of blinding
Fahmy et al. 2018	√	✓MBI which was adapted from the original MBSR	1	? No mention of blinding, but also no mention of manual input
Fayed et al. 2017	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	X (check in text citations to match this)
Friedel et al. 2015	Х	\checkmark	✓ (245 agreed, 82 final)	1
Grant et al. 2010	\checkmark	\checkmark	X	Х
Grant et al. 2013	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Х
Hernandez et al. 2016	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	? No mention of blinding during manual input
Holzel et al. 2008	\checkmark	√	Х	Х
Kober et al. 2017	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Х
Kumar et al. 2014	√	\checkmark	Х	? Methods not clear- possible manual input. Blinding not mentioned.
Kurth 2015a	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Х
Kurth et al. 2015b	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Х
Lazar et al. 2005	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	? Not mentioned
Leung et al. 2013	\checkmark	√	Х	? Not mentioned
Lu et al. 2014	√	1	✓ scans with artifacts not included	? Not mentioned
Luders et al. 2009	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Х
Luders et al. 2012	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Х
Luders et al. 2013	\checkmark	1	Х	✓ likely. Manual input. Blinding Not mentioned
Luders et al. 2015a	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Not mentioned
Luders et al. 2015b	\checkmark	1	Х	✓ likely. Manual input and no mention of blinding
Murakami et al. 2012	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Х
Pagnoni and Cekic 2007	\checkmark	1	✓ image with artifact was not included	Х
Taren et al. 2013	1	1	✓ 10 excluded due to missing data	? semi-automated ROI segmentation. No mention of blinding.
Vestergaard-Poulsen et al. 2009	\checkmark	1	Х	X No mention of manual input during segmentation. However the authors used histological samples.

ALE analysis



Effect size meta-analysis and statistical power



Fig. 2 ALE analysis and derived results. The top of the figure shows the z-scores ALE for all coordinates entered into the analysis, leading to a significant result over the right short insular gyrus. From this region, coordinates from 7 studies (3 studies in the ALE results + 4 located posterior and dorsally) were used to obtain functional connectivity maps (bottom left) showing overlap with observed coordinates over the left insular and anterior cingulate/paracingulate cortices. The brain render

shows the unthresholded summary map while slices display significant regions only. Effect sizes from these 7 studies were also entered into a meta-analysis (bottom right) to estimate sample size for future studies (power curves with black horizontal bars representing the 95 % CI bounds of the meta-analysis effect transforming Hedges'g reported in the forest plot to Cohens'd)

directly controls to meditators) with however a large heterogeneity in effect sizes (\sim 76 %) and in location throughout the brain (Fig. 1). The only one area showing spatial consistency, i.e. the right anterior ventral insula (Fig. 2), have an effect size estimate between 0.2 and 1.4. Our results are concordant with both, an effect of meditation on expected brain areas, and a high spatial variance caused by methodological biases.

Effect of meditation The ALE meta-analysis reveals a significant cluster over the right anterior insula, going over the extreme capsule to the claustrum, an overlap from ROI from Fahmy et al. (2018 - opioid patients meditators > opioid patients control in the claustrum), Hernández et al. (2016 - mediators > controls in the short insula gyrus) and Murakami et al. (2012 - correlation with mindfulness in the short insula gyrus), a sub-region typically associated with emotion (Kurth et al. 2010). Lazar and colleagues (2005) were the first to observe important structural changes associated with sustained meditation practice in this region (although not included in the ALE analysis because no coordinates were

reported in the article), but also the auditory, somatosensorial and prefrontal cortices. The other studies reviewed reporting right insular differences (Grant et al. 2010, Hotzel et al. 2008, Luders et al. 2009, 2015a, b) were more posterior or dorsal, sub-regions associated with sensori-motor afference or empathy (Kurth et al. 2010), altogether arguing for GM changes associated with classical cognitive effects of meditation (Srinivasan 2019). While such insular changes can be expected from meditation experience, it is important to note that our meta-ALE-analysis is likely lacking statistical power with 16 studies included while estimates suggest ~ 20 studies for reliable results (Eickhoff et al. 2016). However, the proximity of these 4 additional studies to the ALE cluster and the functional connectivity results (see below) lead to conclude to a true effect rather than a false positive. In this context, it is also interesting to note that cognitive traits associated to the right insula are also typically associated with the left insula, which we showed to be functionally connected with the right insula. Four studies in our review also reported left insular changes (Engen et al. 2018; Fahmy et al. 2018, Grant et al.

2010, Luders et al. 2015a, b) but they were spatially too dispersed to show significant ALE overlap (Fig. 2). Similarly, about 1/3 of studies (Chetelat et al. (2017), Engen et al., (2018), Fahmy et al., (2018), Grant et al., (2010), Grant et al., (2013), Kurth et al. (2015a), Lu et al. (2014)) showed activations over the (broadly speaking) anterior cingulate/paracingulate cortices, without enough spatially consistency to be a significant region in the ALE analysis, but coordinates overlap with the functional connectivity maps. Given that this region has also been linked to meditation in other reviews (see Boccia et al. 2015) we therefore recommend to also considered it as a-priori region of interest in future studies.

Spatial heterogeneity of effects More often than not, the strongest effects were observed in different locations in the brain which may be partly attributed to a lack of statistical power. In our main effect size meta-analysis, only the strongest effects were used leading to a dispersion of effects (Hedges' g from 0.18 to 2.07 - excluding Vestergaard-Poulsen et al. 2009) along the expected null line of the funnel plot. This indicates that the population effect size is overestimated, calling to use the lower bound of the confidence interval as a safe assumption on how many subjects are needed to properly estimate/quantify the effect of the influence of meditation on grey matter. For 95 % statistical power, 62 subjects per group (124 in total) would be necessary, which is more participants than most studies have used. If one were to plan a study focusing on the insula, our analysis suggests up to 417 subjects per group (834 subjects in total using the lower bound of the CI). Because lack of power increases false positives (Button et al. 2013; Pernet 2017) and because of the nonstationary nature of the grey matter values derived from the MRI signal (Hayasaka et al. 2004), larger effects than real ones (i.e. false positives) can be observed at random locations, explaining the observed spatial heterogeneity. Other factors related to design and analysis might also contribute to this variability (see below).

Strengths and weaknesses of methods This systematic review utilised multiple databases for the literature search and excluded studies that involved movement therapy as part of the meditation/mindfulness intervention. These factors increase the likelihood that all relevant studies were included and that the results obtained were not affected by confounding factors. On the other hand, it should be noted that of the studies included here, some involve participants with pain disorders and other illnesses, as well as adolescents, all of which could possibly bias results. Compared with the most recent ALE analysis (Boccia et al. 2015), we included more studies and used the updated software version that has a stricter type 1 Family-Wise error rate (permutation of maxima rather than FDR – which is the recommended setting, Eickhoff et al. 2016), and thus it would not be reasonable to expect too many similarities given those restrictive criteria.

Moving forward research on the effect of mindfulness on the brain The biggest concern on the observed results is the spatial variability across studies. As discussed above, the total sample size is an important contributing factor and future studies must power up if one wants, for instance, to demonstrate up or down regulation of a given brain region by meditation in patients. The bias analysis also revealed issues with (i) demographics, (ii) analyses, (iii) reporting, and (iv) experimental design. Variation in age, sex, ethnicity, education, socioeconomic status, handedness and illnesses may confound the data, leading to the creation of inaccurate results. Apart from this, many studies did not include important demographic information, creating additional ambiguity surrounding the data and any conclusions that may be drawn from it. It is also important to consider the impact of neurological and psychiatric illnesses, as these may affect GM. The studies reviewed that did not report these were excluded, and of those that do mention exclusion, not all specify the measures used to assess such illnesses. Another important issue is the variability of meditation practices. It may be possible that some practices have more profound effects than others. Since only a limited number of studies with different meditation practices is available, it is not possible to assess whether this is true, or if any differences among practices exist at all. When studying meditation, we must acknowledge that experienced participants are likely to have had exposure to many practices over the years, and so it may be incorrect to assume that the practice measured at the time of the study is responsible for the differences seen. Furthermore, one must also keep in mind that accurate measurement of meditation itself is not entirely possible and concerns surrounding the validity of the various measurement tools used have been raised (Park et al. 2013). Because of the variability in demographics, it is also essential to include covariates in the brain data analyses. Less than half of studies however reported the inclusion of factors such as age, gender and total intracranial volume although those are essential to interpret results, no matter if significantly different between groups (Pernet 2018). While a few articles made data available, most do not mention data sharing. Essential to reproductive and cumulative science (Nichols et al. 2017), details of the analyses are often missing (for instance having to figure out if and what covariates were used) and summary statistics maps never available (for instance sharing on NeuroVault (Gorgolewski et al. 2015) the unthresholded tvalue map of difference controls vs. experts which would allow computing effect sizes for any brain region). Finally, in terms of design, the majority of studies that met the inclusion criteria were cross-sectional and observational. Although this is enough to show the presence of an effect, there is a need for more randomised controlled trials and longitudinal studies to demonstrate therapeutic benefits. Longitudinal studies should span lengthy periods of time, and involve regular scan intervals in order to examine effects over time. Despite their

appeal, there are also a number of issues making them problematic. Such studies were performed over a condensed period of time, due to cost and time limitations, and an increased likelihood of drop-outs, making it difficult to determine long-term effects. At present, there is no defined interval time after which it is agreed that the effects of meditation should be apparent, and we have not yet concluded whether the effects of meditation practice are cumulative, that is, whether the reported changes are related to the amount of experience alone. Furthermore, we have not come to a conclusion on whether the effects are long-lasting beyond the intervention time frame. Improvements in study design, such as blinding are also essential in order to move forward.

Conclusions

There is mounting evidence that meditation induces functional and structural changes in the brain. Our review shows that effects are relatively strong for structural changes ([0.45 1.5]) with consistent changes observed in the right insula, but methodological improvements are required to establish mindfulness meditation as a therapeutic tool.

Acknowledgements Thank you to D. Lakens for publishing YouTube tutorial videos on meta-analysis and bias.

Author contributions CP and AZ conceptualized the review and conducted the ALE analysis, AZ conducted the systematic review and bias analysis, CP and NB conducted the statistical meta-analyses and AD helped edit the manuscript. All co-authors have contributed to the preparation of the manuscript, provided important intellectual content and read and approved the final version.

Funding NB received funding from the European Union Erasmus exchange fund.

Data availability All of the data necessary to replicate what is presented here is available @ https://github.com/CPernet/meta_meditation (extracted data, R scripts, functional connectivity maps and Matlab code).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest None of the authors have a conflict of interest to declare.

References

- Althubaiti, A. (2016). Information bias in health research: Definition, pitfalls, and adjustment methods. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 9, 211–217.
- Ball, E. F., Sharizan, E. N. S. M., Franklin, G., & Rogozinska, E. (2017). Does mindfulness meditation improve chronic pain? A systematic review. *Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 29(6), 359– 366.

- Bell, M. L., Kenward, M. G., Fairclough, D. L., & Horton, N. J. (2013). Differential dropout and bias in randomised controlled trials: When it matters and when it may not. *BMJ*, 346, e8668.
- Bishop, D. V. (2013). Research review: Emanuel Miller Memorial Lecture 2012 - neuroscientific studies of intervention for language impairment in children: interpretive and methodological problems. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54, 247–259.
- Boccia, M., Piccardi, L., & Guariglia, P. (2015). The meditative mind: a comprehensive meta-analysis of MRI studies. *BioMed Research International*, 2015, 1–11.
- Braboszcz, C., & Delorme, A. (2011). Lost in thoughts: Neural markers of low alertness during mind wandering. *NeuroImage*, 54(4), 3040– 3047. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.10.008.
- Buckner, R. L., Roffman, J. L., & Smoller, J. W. (2014). Brain Genomics Superstruct Project (GSP). https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/25833, Harvard Dataverse, V10.
- Button, K. S., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Mokrysz, C., Nosek, B. A., Flint, J., Robinson, E. S. J., & Munafò, M. R. (2013). Power failure: Why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 14, 365.
- Chételat, G., Mézenge, F., Tomadesso, C., Landeau, B., Arenaza-Urquijo, E., Rauchs, G., André, C., de Flores, R., Egret, S., Gonneaud, J., Poisnel, G., Chocat, A., Quillard, A., Desgranges, B., Bloch, J.-G., Ricard, M., & Lutz, A. (2017). Reduced ageassociated brain changes in expert meditators: A multimodal neuroimaging pilot study. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 10160.
- Clarke, T. C., Stussman, B. J., & Nahin, R. L. (2015). Trends in the use of complementary health approaches among adults: United States, 2002–2012. National Health Statistics Reports, 79, 16.
- Colcombe, S. J., Erickson, K. I., Scalf, P. E., Kim, J. S., Prakash, R., McAuley, E., Elavsky, S., Marquez, D. X., Hu, L., & Kramer, A. F. (2006). Aerobic exercise training increases brain volume in aging humans. The Journals of Gerontology. *Series A, Biological Sciences* and Medical Sciences, 61(11), 1166–1170. https://doi.org/10.1093/ gerona/61.11.1166.
- Dunn, C., Haubenreiser, M., Johnson, M., Nordby, K., Aggarwal, S., Myer, S., & Thomas, C. (2018). Mindfulness approaches and weight loss, weight maintenance, and weight regain. *Current Obesity Reports*, 7, 37–49.
- Edwards, M. K., & Loprinzi, P. D. (2018). Comparative effects of meditation and exercise on physical and psychosocial health outcomes: A review of randomized controlled trials. *Postgraduate Medicine*, 130(2), 222–228.
- Eickhoff, S. B., Bzdok, D., Laird, A. R., Kurth, F., & Fox, P. T. (2012). Activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis revisited. *NeuroImage*, 59(3), 2349–2361.
- Eickhoff, S. B., Laird, A. R., Grefkes, C., Wang, L. E., Zilles, K., & Fox, P. T. (2009). Coordinate-based activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis of neuroimaging data: A random-effects approach based on empirical estimates of spatial uncertainty. *Human Brain Mapping*, 30(9), 2907–2926.
- Eickhoff, S. B., Nichols, T. E., Laird, A. R., Hoffstaedter, F., Amunts, K., Fox, P. T., Bzdok, D., & Eickhoff, C. R. (2016). Behavior, sensitivity, and power of activation likelihood estimation characterized by massive empirical simulation. *NeuroImage*, 137, 70–85.
- Erickson, K. I., Leckie, R. L., & Weinstein, A. M. (2014). Physical activity, fitness, and gray matter volume. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 35 Suppl 2, S20–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014. 03.034.
- Engen, H. G., Bernhardt, B. C., Skottnik, L., Ricard, M., & Singer, T. (2018). Structural changes in socio-affective networks: Multi-modal MRI findings in long-term meditation practitioners. *Neuropsychologia*, 116, 26–33.
- Fahmy, R., Wasfi, M., Mamdouh, R., Moussa, K., Wahba, A., Wittemann, M., Hirjak, D., Kubera, K. M., Wolf, N. D., Sambataro, F., & Wolf, R. C. (2018). Mindfulness-based

interventions modulate structural network strength in patients with opioid dependence. *Addictive Behaviors*, 82, 50–56.

- Farias, M., & Wikholm, C. (2016). Has the science of mindfulness lost its mind? BJPsych Bulletin, 40(6), 329–332.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A.-G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41(4), 1149–1160.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39(2), 175– 191.
- Fayed, N., Garcia-Marti, G., Sanz-Requena, R., Marti-Bonmati, L., & Garcia-Campayo, R. (2017). Difference in regional brain volume between fibromyalgia patients and long-term meditators. *Actas Españolas de Psiquiatría*, 45(6), 268–276.
- Fox, K. C. R., Nijeboer, S., Dixon, M. L., Floman, J. L., Ellamil, M., Rumak, S. P., Sedlmeier, P., & Christoff, K. (2014). Is meditation associated with altered brain structure? A systematic review and meta-analysis of morphometric neuroimaging in meditation practitioners. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 43, 48–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.03.016.
- Friedel, S., Whittle, S. L., Vijayakumar, N., Simmons, J. G., Byrne, M. L., Schwartz, O. S., & Allen, N. B. (2015). Dispositional mindfulness is predicted by structural development of the insula during late adolescence. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 14, 62–70.
- Goldberg, S. B., Wielgosz, J., Dahl, C., Schuyler, B., MacCoon, D. S., Rosenkranz, M., Lutz, A., Sebranek, C. A., & Davidson, R. J. (2016). Does the Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire measure what we think it does? Construct validity evidence from an active controlled randomized clinical trial. *Psychological Assessment*, 28(8), 1009–1014.
- Gorgolewski, K. J., Varoquaux, G., Rivera, G., Schwarz, Y., Ghosh, S. S., Maumet, C., Sochat, V. V., Nichols, T. E., Poldrack, R. A., Poline, J.-B., Yarkoni, T., & Margulies, D. S. (2015). NeuroVault.org: A web-based repository for collecting and sharing unthresholded statistical maps of the human brain. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 9, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2015.00008
- Grant, J. A., Courtemanche, J., Duerden, E. G., Duncan, G. H., & Rainville, P. (2010). Cortical thickness and pain sensitivity in zen meditators. *Emotion*, 10(1), 43–53.
- Grant, J. A., Duerden, E. G., Courtemanche, J., Cherkasova, M., Duncan, G. H., & Rainville, P. (2013). Cortical thickness, mental absorption and meditative practice: Possible implications for disorders of attention. *Biological Psychology*, 92(2), 275–281.
- Harrer, M., Cuijpers, P., Furukawa, T. A., & Ebert, D. D. (2019). Dmetar: Companion R package for the guide "Doing meta-analysis in R". R package (Version 0.0.9) [R].
- Hayasaka, S., Phan, K. L., Liberzon, I., Worsley, K. J., & Nichols, T. E. (2004). Nonstationary cluster-size inference with random field and permutation methods. *NeuroImage*, 22(2), 676–687.
- Hernández, S. E., Suero, J., Barros, A., González-Mora, J. L., & Rubia, K. (2016). Increased grey matter associated with long-term Sahaja Yoga Meditation: A voxel-based morphometry study. *PLos One*, *11*(3), e0150757.
- Hilton, L., Hempel, S., Ewing, B. A., Apaydin, E., Xenakis, L., Newberry, S., Colaiaco, B., Maher, A. R., Shanman, R. M., Sorbero, M. E., & Maglione, M. A. (2017). Mindfulness meditation for chronic pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 51(2), 199–213.
- Hölzel, B. K., Ott, U., Gard, T., Hempel, H., Weygandt, M., Morgen, K., & Vaitl, D. (2008). Investigation of mindfulness meditation practitioners with voxel-based morphometry. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 3(1), 55–61.
- Hood, M. M., & Jedel, S. (2017). Mindfulness-based interventions in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology Clinics of North America*, 46(4), 859–874.

- Innes, K. E., & Selfe, T. K. (2014). Meditation as a therapeutic intervention for adults at risk for Alzheimer's Disease – Potential benefits and underlying mechanisms. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 5, 40.
- Kober, S. E., Witte, M., Ninaus, M., Koschutnig, K., Wiesen, D., Zaiser, G., Neuper, C., & Wood, G. (2017). Ability to gain control over one's own brain activity and its relation to spiritual practice: a multimodal imaging study. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 11, 271.
- Kumar, U., Guleria, A., Kishan, S. S. K., & Khetrapal, C. L. (2014). Effect of SOHAM meditation on human brain: a voxel-based morphometry study: effect of SOHAM meditation on human brain. *Journal of Neuroimaging*, 24(2), 187–190.
- Kurth, F., Cherbuin, N., & Luders, E. (2015a). Reduced age-related degeneration of the hippocampal subiculum in long-term meditators. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*, 232(3), 214–218.
- Kurth, F., MacKenzie-Graham, A., Toga, A. W., & Luders, E. (2015b). Shifting brain asymmetry: The link between meditation and structural lateralization. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 10(1), 55–61.
- Kurth, F., Zilles, K., Fox, P. T., Laird, A. R., & Eickhoff, S. B. (2010). A link between the systems: Functional differentiation and integration within the human insula revealed by meta-analysis. *Brain Structure* and Function, 214(5–6), 519–534.
- Kwekkeboom, K. L., & Bratzke, L. C. (2016). A systematic review of relaxation, meditation, and guided imagery strategies for symptom management in heart failure. *The Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*, 31(5), 457–468.
- Lakens, D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4, 863.
- Last, N., Tufts, E., & Auger, L. E. (2017). The effects of meditation on grey matter atrophy and neurodegeneration: a systematic review. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease: JAD*, 56(1), 275–286. https://doi. org/10.3233/JAD-160899.
- Latorraca, C., de O. C., Martimbianco, Pachito, A. L. C., Pacheco, D. V., R. L., & Riera, R. (2017). Mindfulness for palliative care patients. Systematic review. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, 71(12), e13034.
- Laufs, H., Holt, J. L., Elfont, R., Krams, M., Paul, J. S., Krakow, K., & Kleinschmidt, A. (2006). Where the BOLD signal goes when alpha EEG leaves. *NeuroImage*, 31(4), 1408–1418. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neuroimage.2006.02.002.
- Lazar, S. W., Kerr, C. E., Wasserman, R. H., Gray, J. R., Greve, D. N., Treadway, M. T., McGarvey, M., Quinn, B. T., Dusek, J. A., Benson, H., Rauch, S. L., Moore, C. I., & Fischl, B. (2005). Meditation experience is associated with increased cortical thickness. *NeuroReport*, 16(17), 1893–1897.
- Leung, M.-K., Chan, C. C. H., Yin, J., Lee, C.-F., So, K.-F., & Lee, T. M. C. (2013). Increased gray matter volume in the right angular and posterior parahippocampal gyri in loving-kindness meditators. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 8(1), 34–39. https:// doi.org/10.1093/scan/nss076.
- Lu, H., Song, Y., Xu, M., Wang, X., Li, X., & Liu, J. (2014). The brain structure correlates of individual differences in trait mindfulness: A voxel-based morphometry study. *Neuroscience*, 272, 21–28.
- Luders, E., Cherbuin, N., & Kurth, F. (2015a). Forever Young(er): Potential age-defying effects of long-term meditation on gray matter atrophy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, 1551.
- Luders, E., Thompson, P. M., & Kurth, F. (2015b). Larger hippocampal dimensions in meditation practitioners: Differential effects in women and men. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*, 186.
- Luders, E., Kurth, F., Toga, A. W., Narr, K. L., & Gaser, C. (2012). Meditation effects within the hippocampal complex revealed by voxel-based morphometry and cytoarchitectonic probabilistic mapping. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg. 2013.00398.

- Luders, E., Thompson, P. M., Kurth, F., Hong, J.-Y., Phillips, O. R., Wang, Y., Gutman, B. A., Chou, Y.-Y., Narr, K. L., & Toga, A. W. (2013). Global and regional alterations of hippocampal anatomy in long-term meditation practitioners: Mapping the Hippocampus in Meditators. *Human Brain Mapping*, 34(12), 3369–3375.
- Luders, E., Toga, A. W., Lepore, N., & Gaser, C. (2009). The underlying anatomical correlates of long-term meditation: Larger hippocampal and frontal volumes of gray matter. *NeuroImage*, 45(3), 672–678.
- Lutz, A., Greischar, L. L., Rawlings, N. B., Ricard, M., & Davidson, R. J. (2004). Long-term meditators self-induce high-amplitude gamma synchrony during mental practice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(46), 16369–16373. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.0407401101.
- Martínez-Mesa, J., González-Chica, D. A., Duquia, R. P., Bonamigo, R. R., Bastos, J. L., Martínez-Mesa, J., González-Chica, D. A., Duquia, R. P., Bonamigo, R. R., & Bastos, J. L. (2016). Sampling: How to select participants in my research study? *Anais Brasileiros de Dermatologia*, 91(3), 326–330.
- Murakami, H., Nakao, T., Matsunaga, M., Kasuya, Y., Shinoda, J., Yamada, J., & Ohira, H. (2012). The structure of mindful brain. *PLoS One*, 7(9), e46377.
- Nichols, T. E., Das, S., Eickhoff, S. B., Evans, A. C., Glatard, T., Hanke, M., Kriegeskorte, N., Milham, M. P., Poldrack, R. A., Poline, J.-B., Proal, E., Thirion, B., Essen, D. C. V., White, T., & Yeo, B. T. T. (2017). Best practices in data analysis and sharing in neuroimaging using MRI. *Nature Neuroscience*, 20(3), 299–303.
- Pagnoni, G., & Cekic, M. (2007). Age effects on gray matter volume and attentional performance in Zen meditation. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 28(10), 1623–1627.
- Park, T., Reilly-Spong, M., & Gross, C. R. (2013). Mindfulness: A systematic review of instruments to measure an emergent patientreported outcome (PRO). *Quality of Life Research: An International Journal of Quality of Life Aspects of Treatment, Care and Rehabilitation, 22*(10), 2639–2659. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11136-013-0395-8.
- Pernet, C. R. (2017). Null hypothesis significance testing: A guide to commonly misunderstood concepts and recommendations for good practice. *F1000Research*, *4*, 621.
- Pernet, C. R. (2018). The general linear model: Theory and practicalities in brain morphometric analyses. In G. Spalletta, T. Gili & F. Piras (Ed.) Brain Morphometry: Methods and Clinical Applications. Neuromethods, vol. 136, Springer, pp. 75–85
- Potes, A., Souza, G., Nikolitch, K., Penheiro, R., Moussa, Y., Jarvis, E., Looper, K., & Rej, S. (2018). Mindfulness in severe and persistent

mental illness: A systematic review. International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice, 22(4), 253–261.

- Rohatgi, A. (2019). *WebPlotDigitizer* (Version 4.2) [Computer software]. https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer. Accessed 2 Feb 2021.
- Russell-Williams, J., Jaroudi, W., Perich, T., Hoscheidt, S., Haj, E. M., & Moustafa, A. A. (2018). Mindfulness and meditation: Treating cognitive impairment and reducing stress in dementia. *Reviews in the Neurosciences*, 29(7), 791–804.
- Santorelli, S. F., Meleo-Meyer, F., Koerbel, L., & Kabat-Zinn, J. (2017). Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) Authorized curriculum guide. https://www.umassmed.edu/globalassets/center-formindfulness/documents/mbsr-curriculum-guide-2017.pdf. Accessed 2 Feb 2021.
- Schlosser, M., Sparby, T., Vörös, S., Jones, R., & Marchant, N. L. (2019). Unpleasant meditation-related experiences in regular meditators: Prevalence, predictors, and conceptual considerations. *PLoS One*, *14*(5), e0216643.
- Sperduti, M., Makowski, D., Blonde, P., & Piolino, P. (2017). Meditation and successful aging: Can meditative practices counteract agerelated cognitive decline? *Geriatrie et Psychologie Neuropsychiatrie Du Vieillissement*, 15(2), 205–213.
- Sporns, O., Tononi, G., & Kötter, R. (2005). The human connectome: A structural description of the human brain. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 1, 245–251.
- Srinivasan, N. (Ed.)., (2019). *Meditation* (Vol. 244). Cambridge: Academic.
- Taren, A. A., Creswell, J. D., & Gianaros, P. J. (2013). Dispositional mindfulness co-varies with smaller amygdala and caudate volumes in community adults. *PLoS One*, 8(5), e64574.
- Turkeltaub, P. E., Eickhoff, S. B., Laird, A. R., Fox, M., Wiener, M., & Fox, P. (2012). Minimizing within-experiment and within-group effects in activation likelihood estimation meta-analyses. *Human Brain Mapping*, 33(1), 1–13.
- Vestergaard-Poulsen, P., van Beek, M., Skewes, J., Bjarkam, C. R., Stubberup, M., Bertelsen, J., & Roepstorff, A. (2009). Long-term meditation is associated with increased gray matter density in the brain stem. *NeuroReport*, 20(2), 170–174.
- Yarkoni, T., Poldrack, R. A., Nichols, T. E., Van Essen, D. C., & Wager, T. D. (2011). Large-scale automated synthesis of human functional neuroimaging data. *Nature Methods*, 8(8), 665–670.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.